From Conventional to Semantic Communications based on Deep Learning

Professor Geoffrey Ye Li
ITP Lab, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering
Impeial College London
London, UK

ITP Lab Intelligent Transmission & Processing Laboratory
Imperial College London

Outline

- Overview
- DL-based Conventional Communications

  * Block-Wise

  * End-to-End
- DL-based Semantic Communications
- Conclusions

ITP Lab
Imperial

Motivation

➢ Challenges in current/conventional communication systems

- ❑ Mathematical models versus practical imperfection
- ❑ Block structures versus global optimality
- ❑ Complexity and performance of optimization
- ❑ Spectrum efficiency limited by Shannon capacity

➢ Why deep learning?

- ❑ No need for models for data-driven method
- ❑ End-to-end loss optimization for global optimality
- ❑ Deep learning enabled end-to-end and semantic communications

Block Structure or End-to-End for Conventional Communications

Conventional Communications:

Transmit symbols or bits, following Shannon Limit



ITP Lab Imperial

DL in Physical Layer Conventional Communications



- Z.-J. Qin, H. Ye, G. Y. Li, and B.-H. Juang, "Deep learning in physical layer communications," *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 93-98, April 2019.
- H.-T. He, S. Jin, C.-K. Wen, F.-F. Gao, G. Y. Li, and Z.-B. Xu, "Model-driven deep learning for physical layer communications," *IEEE Wireless Commun*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 77- 83, Oct. 2019
- H. Ye, G. Y. Li, and B.-H. F. Juang, "Power of deep learning for channel estimation and signal detection in OFDM systems," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 114 – 117, Feb. 2018.

From Symbol to Semantic Transmission

- **Three Levels of Communications: Shannon and Weaver**
  - **Transmission of symbols (Shannon Paradigm)**
    following Shannon limit & well-developed near limit
  - **Semantic exchange of source information**
    semantic communications (transmission of intelligence)
  - **Effects of semantic information exchange**

- **Semantic Communications: <u>Significantly improved efficiency</u>!**

C. E. Shannon and W. Weaver, *The Mathematical Theory of Communication*. The University of Illinois Press, 1949.

Conventional Communications

- Only consider the **data recovery accurately**
- Information redundancy are removed in **entropy-domain**
- All information (including **useless and irrelevant**) is transmitted to the receiver, part is useless for the target network



Semantic Communications

- **Feature** networks and **action** networks considered
- Information redundancy removed in **semantic domain**
- Only **useful and relevant** information transmitted to the receiver
- The features can serve **different** action networks



Outline

- Overview
- DL-based Conventional Communications

  * Block-Wise

  * End-to-End
- DL-based Semantic Communications
- Conclusions

ITP Lab Imperial

Channel Estimation (CE) and Signal Detection (SD)



- **Related works:**
  - MMSE for channel estimation
  - Neural networks and DL in equalization and decoding
- **Challenges:**
  - Nonlinear distortion and interference
- **Innovations:**
  - DL for joint channel estimation and symbol detection
  - DL-based method: robust and insensitive to nonlinear distortion and interference

Traditional CE and SD



ITP Lab
Imperial

DL-based CE and SD



- ➢ Input: received pilot OFDM block + received data OFDM block
- ➢ Output: recovered data

H. Ye, G. Y. Li, and B.-H. F. Juang, "Power of deep learning for channel estimation and signal detection in OFDM systems," *IEEE Wireless Commun. Lett.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 114 – 117, Feb. 2018.

Model-Driven DL

- ❑ Relying on relatively accurate model
- ❑ Exploiting rich domain/expert knowledge
- ❑ Easy to train with a small amount of data
- ❑ Explainable and predictable neural networks
- ❑ **Deep unfolding**: a popular model-driven approach

H.-T. He, S. Jin, C.-K. Wen, F.-F. Gao, G. Y. Li, and Z.-B. Xu, "Model-driven deep learning for physical layer communications," ***IEEE Wireless Commun,*** **vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 77- 83, Oct. 2019.**

Example: MIMO Detection

- **MIMO System**:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}$$

- **Goal**: estimating $\mathbf{x}$ from received signal $\mathbf{y}$ and channel matrix $\mathbf{H}$
- **Conventional Detectors:**
  - Optimal detector: ML detector, high complexity
  - Linear detectors: ZF, LMMSE, low complexity but poor performance
  - Iterative detectors: AMP-based detector, EP-based detector, excellent performance, moderate complexity, performance degradation with ill-conditioned channel matrix
- **Motivation**: deep learning to perform iterative detection

H.-T. He, C.-K. Wen, S. Jin, and G. Y. Li, "Model-driven deep learning for MIMO detection," ***IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*****, vol. 68, pp. 1702-1715, March 2020.**

ITP Lab
Imperial

Outline

- **Overview**
- **DL-based Conventional Communications**

  *** Block-Wise**

  *** End-to-End**
- **DL-based Semantic Communications**
- **Conclusions**

Why End-to-End Learning?



- **Architecture:**
  - Representing both transmitter and receiver by DNNs
  - Leaning to encode transmit symbols at transmitter
  - Learning to recover transmit symbols at receiver
- **Merits:**
  - Achieving global optimum
  - Universal solution to different channels
  - Beating current state-of-arts

E2E based on Reinforcement Learning



- **Reinforcement Learning Formation:**
  - Agent: transmitter
  - Environment: channel + receiver
  - States: source data
  - Actions: transmit signals
- **Advantage and Disadvantage:**
  - Unnecessary for channel modeling
  - Hard for continuous action in reinforcement learning

F. Aoudia, and J. Hoydis. "End-to-end learning of communications systems without a channel model," *arXiv preprint arXiv: 1804.02276*

E2E based on Conditional GAN



- Using CNN to address curse of dimentionity
- Conditional GAN: modelling the channel output distribution
- Surrogate of real channel when training the transmitter
- Received pilots as a part of conditioning for unknown channel

H. Ye, L. Liang, G. Y. Li, and B.-H. F. Juang, "Deep learning based end-to-end wireless communication systems with GAN as unknown channel," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 3133-3143, May 2020.

Performance for WINNER II Channels

- Similar BER at low SNR
- Better at high SNR



ITP Lab
Imperial

Outline

- **Overview**
- **DL-based Conventional Communications**

  *** Block-Wise**

  *** End-to-End**
- **DL-based Semantic Communications**
- **Conclusions**

Information Content of English & Semantic Encoding

- **Encoding English Words Letter-by-Letter**
  - In English, on average there are 4.5 letters per word
  - 5.5 characters per word if including space
  - 5 bits to encode each letter (26 letters)
  - 27.5 bits/word (5X5.5=27.5) **Need a codebook of 26 letters**

- **Encoding English Words Word-by-Word**
  - 171,476 English words (from Google)
  - 18 bits/word ($2^{17} < 171{,}476 < 2^{18}$) **Need a codebook of 171,476 words**

- **Encoding English Semantically**
  - i.e., only 1 bits if answering YES or NO a question
  - …. More Efficient! **Need an extremely huge codebook**

ITP Lab
Imperial

Example on Semantic Communications



W. Tong and G. Y. Li "Nine critical issues in AI and wireless communications to ensure successful 6G," to appear in *IEEE Wireless Commun.*, also at https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.11320, Aug. 2021.

ITP Lab
Imperial

Semantic Transceiver

- **Transceiver**
  - Transmitter

$$\mathbf{X} = C_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}\left(S_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\left(\mathbf{s}\right)\right),$$

  - Receiver

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{N},$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}} = S_{\boldsymbol{\chi}}^{-1}\left(C_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{Y}\right)\right)$$



- **Channels**
  - Physical channel noise is caused by the physical channel impairment
    - AWGN, fading channels…
  - Semantic channel noise refers to misunderstanding
    - Caused by interpretation error and disturbance in estimated information.

H. Xie, Z. Qin, G. Y. Li, and B.-H. Juang, "Deep learning enabled semantic communication systems," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.* vol. 69, pp. 2663-2675, 2021, Apr. 2021.

Transceiver Structure

Transformer based semantic communication

- Merge the traditional communication and semantic into DNNs
- Transformer can learn the semantic in text
  - e.g., "it" completes pronoun reference "the animal"





A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. N. Gomez, Ł. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," in *Advances Neural Info. Process. Systems (NIPS'17)*, Long Beach, CA, USA. Dec. 2017, pp. 5998–6008.

Loss Function

- Loss function used to train the transceiver

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}}(\mathbf{s}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) - \lambda \mathcal{L}_{\text{MI}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; T, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$$

- **Cross-Entropy**: Through reducing the loss value of channel encoder, the network can learn the syntax, phrase, the meaning of words

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CE}}(\mathbf{s}, \hat{\mathbf{s}}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\delta}) = -\sum_{i=1} q(w_i) \log(p(w_i)) + (1 - q(w_i)) \log(1 - p(w_i))$$

- **Mutual Information**: maximizing achieved data rate

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{MI}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}; T) = \mathbb{E}_{p(x,y)}[f_T] - \log\left(\mathbb{E}_{p(x)p(y)}\left[e^{f_T}\right]\right)$$

ITP Lab
Imperial

Two-Step Training

- Maximizing mutual information
- Train the whole model



Performance Metrics

- **BLEU score**
  - Compare the difference between words in two sentences

$$\log \mathrm{BLEU} = \min\left(1 - \frac{l_{\hat{\mathbf{s}}}}{l_{\mathbf{s}}}, 0\right) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} u_n \log p_n$$

– $l_{\mathbf{s}}$ is the length of sentence **s**, $l_{\hat{\mathbf{s}}}$ is the length of sentence $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$

– p_n is the n-grams score, u_n is the weights of n-grams

- **Sentence Similarity**
  - Use siamese network to compute the semantic similarity

$$\operatorname{match}(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbf{s}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\hat{\mathbf{s}})^T}{\left\|\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\mathbf{s})\right\| \left\|\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}(\hat{\mathbf{s}})\right\|}$$

– $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\bullet)$ is the BERT model

  - Sentence, **s**, will be mapped into **semantic vector space**, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbf{s})$, by BERT model
  - Similarity is computed by measuring **distance** between $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\mathbf{s})$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{\Phi}}(\hat{\mathbf{s}})$

Simulation Setting

- **Dataset**
  - The proceedings of the European Parliament
- **Proposed network architecture**
  - Transmitter:
    - 3 layers of Transformer encoder and 2 dense layers
  - Receiver:
    - 2 dense layers and 3 layers of Transformer decoder
- **Benchmark**
  - Deep Learning based joint source-channel coding (DL based JSC coding)
  - Traditional methods
    - Source coding: Huffman coding
    - Channel coding: Turbo code
    - Modulation: 64-QAM

Simulation Results





- ➢ All deep learning approaches are more competitive in the low SNR regime.
- ➢ The tendency in sentence similarity is much closer to human judgment.
  - – In SNR = 12 dB, 20% BLEU score = approximate 0 sentence similarity
  - – People are usually unable to understand the meaning of texts full of errors

Outline

- Overview
- DL-based Conventional Communications

  * Block-Wise

  * End-to-End
- DL-based Semantic Communications
- Conclusions

Conclusions

- For Conventional Communications

  *Robust to nonlinear distortion, interference, & frequency selectivity

  *Improving performance of iterative detectors and adapt to complicated channels

- End-to-end Communication Architecture

  * Enabling global optimization of transceiver

  * Potentially reducing the complexity

- Semantic Communications

  *Significantly improving transmission efficiency

  *Future of wireless communications